Meeting Summary

Thursday, February 2, 2012
2-3:30
Room AC108

Attendance:
Absent: Maridel Barr

Agenda Items:

Susan Andrien: Scott Technology Group vs. PaperCut
Susan recommending PaperCut

Marshall suggests: Get away from machinery
PaperCut recommended by other colleges requiring minimal activity in order to print

Frank: who pays for the printing? Student or school?

Susan: some school gives student a cap and then charges beyond the given allotment

Marshall: would like to have a campus wide single solution
would like ASCOM to help cover some cost
Currently Scotts is not a good solution—staff is currently dissatisfied

Frank: what is being asked of TPC?

Marshall + Mike: asking for policy to make the agreed-upon solution be a campus-wide single solution

Frank: under the proposed system how would repairs be handled?

Marshall: we are currently using Advent to maintain our printers, excluding some minor management such as cartridge replacements

Frank: the transaction and how much student pay for it and how we collect it needs to be considered

Susan: printing is pay-as-you-go by using a prepaid card

Marshall: it means replacing 10 printers

Frank: I would to keep in mind the district goal of minimizing printing of paper.
We have Moodle shells so that students can upload files into that storage area to minimize paper printing
Frank: A stated campus goal is going green – strategic goal. In favor of going with the campus wide single solution. Should build into the process a campus cultural change.

Mike: goal is to be paperless. Can create policy in this regard in our new tech plan

Frank: would ASCOM be willing to subsidize the paper?

Kathy: in the last 7-8 years there was centralized printing. Since then there are local printers, and multiple additions of other printers. The biggest impact on paper has been from the laser printers in office. Thought the paper was only going to repro, but it turns out that people are taking paper out of the printer in repro. Cost of paper is going up. The increasing cost of paper is not sustainable. The other issue – only able to capture data on printers connected to the server, but not others.

Jeff: need to publicize the issue first

Marshall: based on data on network.

Susan: remote desktop has helped save paper and other supply usage (promo for remote control)

Prep for meeting with WTC consultants:

Mike: need to define the scope of technology we are intending to address

Frank: we are having a conversation about definitions but who do they affect?

Mike: One function program review is designed to show the technological needs of instructional programs for instruction and in their offices.

Marshall: we need to be thinking about what qualifies as technology as preparation for the meeting with the consultants next week.

Jeff + Frank: we need to have a process for handling technology needs and maintenance.

Marshall: Their (consultants) charge is to help us develop a document that addresses organizational goals

Conversation ensues about what our mandate is, what the consultants function is, creating the process

Frank: the groups should be meeting together first before the consultant goes to cabinet and college council.

Consensus on having transparency about what is going on between Cabinet and consultants and the TPC. Request the TPC's chair's attendance at the first Cabinet-Consultant meeting. Needs to be a written request, with a written response.

Meeting adjourned at 3:30